

PostScript

LETTER

Perinatal care at an extremely low gestational age (22–25 weeks). An Italian approach: the “Carta di Firenze”

Guidelines on life or death strategies in extremely preterm infants have been formulated in various countries worldwide.^{1–15} The general agreement is not to initiate resuscitation in neonates when gestational age is less than or equal to 22 weeks, and intensive care is assured for infants of 25 weeks and over; in the middle there is a sort of “grey zone”. To provide helpful suggestions for initial management of threatened birth in infants at a gestational age of 25 completed weeks or less, a working group in Florence recently reviewed existing studies on survival and morbidity rates, international guidelines and practice recommendations. A consensus document was drawn up, and this was evaluated by several scientific societies (Italian Paediatric Society (SIP), Italian societies of obstetricians and gynaecologists (AGUI, AOGOI), Italian Society of Legal Medicine (SIMLA), Italian Society of Perinatal Medicine (SIMP), Italian Society of Anaesthesiologists (SIARTI), and the Italian Society of Paediatric Anaesthesia (SARNePI), and is currently being examined by the Italian National Bioethical Committee. The issues addressed include:

- (1) suggestions arising from the need to guarantee mothers and neonates adequate assistance solely for safeguarding them against useless, painful, or inefficacious treatments resulting in futile treatment;
- (2) suggestions for treatment stratified per gestational age in weeks (considered by the entire scientific world as the most efficacious measure of maturity of the fetus/neonate), while leaving room for deviations in specific cases at the discretion of the attending doctors and in compliance with the parents’ will (as per Italian Legislation regarding late pregnancy terminations [Law 194/1978] that forces clinicians to plan either palliative or intensive care for the fetus/neonate after a postnatal evaluation);
- (3) parents’ desires are paramount in borderline cases and must be complied with if medically acceptable

(4) generally aggressive management is recommended at or after 25 weeks and is not suggested at or under 22 weeks. Decisions must be made on an individual level in the grey zone (23–24 weeks), although aggressive management of the mother and fetus/neonate is not recommended

(5) the attending clinician’s main duty is to provide honest, updated and adequate information for the parents.

Our document offers an overview of different positions that may be helpful for clinicians and parents. It also provides the basis for a debate—which is necessary in Italy, where any discussion about end-of-life decisions, particularly in infancy and childhood, is still controversial.

Acknowledgements

We thank Francesca Ceroni, Judge of the Juvenile Court, Florence, Roberta Filippi, Attorney of the Court of Florence, and Patrizia Pompei, Judge of the Civil Court of Florence for their work in addressing and reviewing the document.

Maria Serenella Pignotti

Neonatal Medicine, University of Florence, Florence, Italy

Gianfranco Scarselli

Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, University of Florence, Florence, Italy

Ignazio Barberi

Department of Paediatrics and Neonatology, University of Messina, Messina, Italy

Mauro Barni

Bioethics Committee, Tuscany, Italy

Giulio Bevilacqua

Department of Gynaecology, Obstetrics and Neonatology, University of Parma, Parma, Italy

Francesco Branconi

Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, University of Florence, Florence, Italy

Giovanni Bucci

Emeritus of Paediatrics and Neonatology, Rome, Italy

Mario Campogrande

Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, Sant’Anna Hospital, Turin, Italy

Pietro Curiel

Past President, Associazione degli Ostetrici e Ginecologi Ospedalieri Italiani (AOGOI), Italy

Romolo Di Iorio

Department of Gynaecology, Perinatology and Child Health, La Sapienza University, Rome, Italy

Gian Carlo Di Renzo

Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, University of Perugia, Perugia, Italy

Mariarosaria Di Tommaso

Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, University of Florence, Florence, Italy

Massimo Moscarini

Department of Gynaecology, Perinatology and Child Health, La Sapienza University, Rome, Italy

Gian Aristide Norelli

Department of Legal Medicine, University of Florence, Florence, Italy

Aldo Pagni

President, Commissione Codice Deontologico of the FNOMCeO, Italy

Antonio Panti

President, Ordine dei Medici of Florence, Florence, Italy

Ivana Pela

Bioethics Committee, Tuscany, Italy

Giorgio Rondini

Department of Paediatrics, University of Pavia, Pavia, Italy

Giuseppe Saggese

Department of Paediatrics, University of Pisa, Pisa, Italy

Gianpaolo Salvio

Department of Paediatrics and Neonatology, University of Bologna, Bologna, Italy

Enrico Scarano

Neonatal Medicine, University of Florence, Florence, Italy

Gianpaolo Donzelli

Neonatal Medicine, University of Florence, Florence, Italy

Correspondence to: Dr Maria Serenella Pignotti, Neonatal Medicine, A Meyer Children Hospital, University of Florence, Italy, Via Luca Giordano 13-50132 – Firenze; m.pignotti@meyer.it

doi: 10.1136/adc.2007.119446

Accepted 10 May 2007

Competing interests: None.

Table 1 Suggestions for treatment at an extremely preterm age

Gestational age (weeks)	Antenatal transport	Antenatal steroid	Caesarean section	Neonatal care
22.0–22.6	No/yes	No	Maternal indications only	Palliative care
23.0–23.6	Yes	No	Maternal indications only	Palliative care, unless the infant shows survival capacities, with the parent(s)’ consent
24.0–24.6	Yes, strongly suggested	Yes	Maternal indications, seldom for fetal reasons	Intensive treatment indicated but only when the infant shows survival capacities
25.0–25.6	Yes, strongly suggested	Yes	Maternal and fetal reasons	Intensive care, unless palliative care seems to be more indicated

References

- 1 **Anon.** Frühgeburt an der Grenze der Lebensfähigkeit des Kindes. *Z Geburtsh Neonatol* 1998;**202**:261–3.
- 2 **Dehan M, Gold F, Grassin M, et al.** Pour la Federation nationale des pediatres neonatologistes. Dilemmes éthiques de la période périnatale: recommandations pour le décisions de fin de vie. *Arch Pediatr* 2001;**8**:407–19.
- 3 **Desfrere L, Tsatsaris V, Sanchez L, et al.** Critères de réanimation des prématurissimes en salle de naissance: quel discours en anténatal? *J Gynecol Obstet Biol Reprod* 2004;**33**:1S84–1S87.
- 4 **Fetus and Newborn Committee, Canadian Paediatric Society and Maternal Fetal Medicine Committee, Society of Obstetricians and Gynaecologists of Canada.** Management of the woman with threatened birth of an infant of extremely low gestational age. *CMAJ*, 2000.<http://www.cps.ca/english/statements/FN/fn94-01.htm> (accessed 9 March 2003).
- 5 **Gee H, Dunn P;** for the BAPM Executive Committee. *Fetuses and newborn infants at the threshold of viability. A framework for practice*, British Association of Perinatal Medicine, 2000.<http://www.bapm.org/publications/index.php#guidelines> (accessed on 14 May 2003).
- 6 **Thames Regional Perinatal Group.** Guidelines relating to the birth of extremely immature babies (22–26 weeks gestation). British Association of Perinatal Medicine, 2000. <http://www.bapm.org/publications/index.php#guidelines> (accessed on 14 May 2003).
- 7 **Nuffield Council on Bioethics.** *Critical care decisions in fetal and neonatal medicine: ethical issues*, November 2006. Search via <http://www.nuffieldbioethics.org> (accessed December 14 2006).
- 8 **ACOG Perinatal care at the threshold of viability.** *Pract Bull* 2002;**100**:617–24.
- 9 **AAP Clinical Report.** Perinatal care at the threshold of viability. *Pediatrics* 2002;**110**:1024–7.
- 10 **FIGO Committee for the Ethical Aspects of Human Reproduction and Women's Health.** Recommendations on ethical issues in obstetrics and gynaecology. November 2003. <http://www.figo.org/about-guidelines.asp> (accessed 13 August 2007).
- 11 **Swiss Society of Neonatology.** Recommendations for the care of infants born at the limit of viability (gestational age 22–26 weeks). 2003 Guidelines. http://www.neonet.ch/en/04_Recommendations/rec_ssn.asp (accessed 2 September 2004).
- 12 **Asociacion Espanola De Pediatria.** Recomendaciones en reanimacion neonatal. Grupo de Reanimacion Cardiopulmonar de la Sociedad Espanola de Neonatologia. *An Pediatr Barc* 2004;**60**:65–74.
- 13 **American Heart Association.** Neonatal resuscitation guidelines. *Circulation* 2005;**112**:188–95.
- 14 **Dutch Pediatric Association.** Guidelines for decisions regarding extremely premature birth in the Netherlands [Nederlands beleid bij extreme vroeggeboorte] Dutch Pediatric Association, November, 2005.
- 15 **Verloove-Vanhorick SP.** Management of the neonate at the limits of viability: the Dutch viewpoint. *BJOG* 2006;**113**(Suppl 3):13–6.

Take advantage of BMJ Journals' remarkable catalogue of titles with Related Collections

No busy professional has time to browse through all pertinent journals to find relevant articles, but with Related Collections you no longer have to. Follow the "Related Collections" link from any article and use the "Show Collections from other Journals" to expand your search across all BMJ Journals. Or simply follow the "Browse by topic" link on the home page. By setting up your own collections and receiving email alerts every time an article is added to your chosen area, you can build up your own significant body of knowledge.